

# *Eternity*

*By Thor Fabian Pettersen, 2019*

## *Eternity is a Dual Torus:*

Existence has to start from a “frozen state,” like a nothingness, or you will have an infinite regress on your hands.

What is nothingness?

Imagine flying at the speed of light: You would see, I imagine, all time crammed up into a tiny spot—which looks like a giant nothingness or timelessness or motionlessness. Maybe this high velocity is what real nothingness is. I believe so. So, nothingness exists. Nothingness is the fullness of time. That means nothingness has properties. And properties are exactly what we need to give birth to spacetime.

But how does the high-speed state of the nothingness acquire its speed in the first place?

The solution here is that our high-speed state actually does equal motionlessness. You don't ask, how did a thing acquire its motionlessness? Motionlessness is a state that can have existed forever.

The only way you can create motionlessness is with a high velocity, like turning up the volume of some Cosmic Speakers and the sound will be so loud that you can no longer hear it; likewise, motion passes on and becomes motionless.

But what if you just slow an object down in order to create motionlessness? That you cannot do. You will always have some motion that way. Again, the **ONLY WAY** you can create real motionlessness is with a high velocity. But that means motionlessness, which is free of the infinite regress, can give birth to relative motion or spacetime.

Richard Buckminster “Bucky” Fuller found it: This motionlessness or nothingness has a geometry called the *cuboctahedron*.

Fold the cuboctahedron to see what it is.

It is spin. (Or a dual torus.)

Now you know the origin of spin—why our galaxies spin.

*Spin always was.*

From the point of view of the frozen spin, the motionless spin spins really fast—which creates a whirlpool. So, the nothingness is like a whirlpool. Whirlpools have the ability to create baby whirlpools. And, if these baby whirlpools are not as strong as the giant, cosmic, “frozen”

whirlpool, then we see these babies as spinning slower and they thus become unfrozen and therefore MOVE ABOUT. Magic! That is how nothingness creates somethingness.

To test this statement, one of my fellow high IQ members said (I am a member of three different IQ societies, CHIQS, ROHIQS and [Torr, an international high IQ society](#)):

***Roni Sharon:***

i thought slightly deeper about your post  
If maximal speed is nothing  
and speed can also be represented by the very basic equation  
of  $d/t = s$   
then we get  $0/0$  which undefined

I liked your idea btw

Your suggestion is original apparently  
the question is, how to conduct an experiment that can validate this or the other assertions

also, I'm afraid i'm just spread nonsense, but i can't stop from thinking about when you wrote  
"frozen spin"

i know you meant something else, but i'm also associating this with the temperature before the  
big bang

(Thank you, Roni)

*Moving on:*

The real whirlpools in our oceans are a cosmic reflection of the initial cosmic whirlpools.

The baby whirlpools equal evolution and dark energy.

But, why is there nothingness rather than nonexistence?

Answer that last piece of the Cosmic Puzzle, and you have a complete picture.

If you want nonexistence, then you have to “remove” the frozen nothingness. But if you did that, you would end up with the unfrozen somethingness, like if you want to melt some ice, then you need to use some fire—you cannot just use your thoughts and “remove” it. But, you do not want the somethingness either, you want nonexistence—well, you do not really want nonexistence, but you know what I mean. Then you “remove” the somethingness, but then you end up with the frozen nothingness again! Now you get angry and try to remove both at the same time, but then, if you do the calculations, you end up with both! There is NO WAY you can have nonexistence, which means existence always was. To avoid the infinite regress, existence has to start from a frozen state, or rather the high-speed state of the nothingness.

When you think about it, if you want to get rid of a state that is motionless, then you end up with a state of motion and vice versa because if you replace the motionlessness with a thing that contains no motion, you end up with the motionlessness again; if motionlessness was not an extreme form of motion, then we would not be here. Therefore the motionless state is that

of motion, it truly answers why there is existence rather than nonexistence—you can only accomplish motionlessness with speed.

But if you have a thing that is not of the motionlessness nor that of motion, a thing that truly contains no motion, you have a nonexistence. So why is there existence, then? The thing is, a thing that contains no motion = motionlessness. That is the clue. If you have no motion at all, true motionlessness, then you are moving at an incredible speed. That is the only way to do it. If you wanted to create a thing that contains no motion that is not moving at an incredible speed, you would not end up with a thing that contains no motion. The clue here is to truly recognize what motionlessness is and thus solve the riddle of existence.

Perhaps we do not recognize it because if you are moving at an incredible speed, you are moving at an incredible speed, which is to say you are not actually motionless. But you forget what it actually means to move at an incredible speed. It means you are not alone, that you have some backdrop that we can use to measure your status. However, existence is alone, so moving at an incredible speed can actually = motionlessness.

*In summa:* Existence is eternal. The universe has a beginning.

The Ocean of Nothingness remains, the Cosmic Whirlpools come and go.

That is, the absolute motion or motionlessness is eternal, but the relative motion knows a beginning and an end.

In fact, that is WHAT a beginning is: a relative thing. If you are alone, which existence is, then you cannot possibly form a beginning. If you are alone, then you cannot tell if you are big or small, neither can you tell time—you cannot have what your clock says. Then existence copied itself, by making baby whirlpools, and now you can have a beginning because you are not alone anymore.

Evolution/spacetime is the baby whirlpool.

However, the KEY here is to realize that the only way primordial existence can form a beginning is if it copies itself, which means the copies inherit the same geometry and therefore the same properties and abilities, including the ability to replicate. Which means that time is speeding up as the primordial building blocks make copies of themselves, and the copies make copies, giving us exponential growth and, at the end of time: the technological singularity. I believe that this exponential growth is what dark energy is. From here, you will see that everything grows, including our planets, moons and suns.

Then you replicate the said planet-growing mechanism on a smaller scale and you can drive till the ends of the universe and back because now “fuel” is literally growing in your tank so that you do not need to fill up your tank from the outside.

*“Then you replicate the said planet-growing mechanism on a smaller scale:”* The cuboctahedron can be replicated on a smaller scale, so this should work.

Couple this with Roger Penrose’s CCC model in a fractal, growing universe, and you get the fact that individual parts of the universe can resurrect while the big universe is operational. Now you get “fuel” which will grow in your fuel tank and, it will resurrect, meaning fuel will

forever grow in your fuel tank. Now you can create a world of abundance where everyone is happy. You can travel anywhere in the universe. You can invent the warp drive: <https://www.quora.com/Are-warp-drives-science-fiction-or-future-reality/answer/Thor-Fabian-Pettersen>

You can make Nikola Tesla's dream a reality.

However, the coming ASI (technological singularity) will make all beautiful things a reality anyway. Or so we hope.

*100%:*

If you are alone, which existence is, then you cannot possibly form a beginning. If you want a beginning, you have to let go being alone, which means you will get a growing universe, a big, cosmic family. You can't just get the girl, so to speak. You get the whole tribe, mother in law and the rest. This is 100 % clear in my mind. So I must conclude that our earth grows. Then we look at the current model, which is the Pangea model, and we conclude that the earth must grow! Pangea is ridiculous! "Once upon a time, there was this giant island." If this is REAL science, then my respect for science just dropped.

If your model is ugly, it is wrong. I don't care if a multiverse of scientists supported it. It is ugly. It is wrong. End of discussion. The cuboctahedron, on the other hand, is the most beautiful thing I ever saw—which means it is the basic building block of reality. End of discussion. What I am trying to say is: you have to have some poetic truth in your model.

And no lofty poem will ever start with: Once upon a time, there was this giant island.

Maybe you can get a lofty fairy tale out of it. Maybe add some dinosaurs or a big ape, and you've got a big hit in the theaters. Yeah, whenever I hear the word Pangea, I'm thinking of you, King Kong.

But for grand, poetic truth, you will write: The earth is ... growing. (Or at least it grew in the past.)

The trees grow.

We grew.

Maybe the poetic truth and the universe are telling us something important here. But don't listen to them, listen to what science says. After all, the ability to think for oneself is secondary. What has the world become? Science is truly the new religion.

"I can't find a single fact in your nonsense." As if I am dealing with facts! I am dealing with thoughts and your ability to think! Get over it. It is nonsense because I say Pangea is ugly? It is nonsense because 20 000 children die every day and the earth-growing mechanism could change that fact literally overnight? Like Robert W. Koontz letter to Michio Kaku, and Kaku does not even merit a response! It is not a good place to be in. I get angry and upset. I start to cry. And Kaku was my hero too. A little change in attitude and we could have zero deaths and abundance for everyone. Thankfully, the universe (the technological singularity) will supply what ignorant man cannot.

The beginning of time had the technological singularity in mind, so if the ASI creates paradise or hell, we must hope for the best because time itself is at the wheels.

What is the end product of the technological singularity and thus the end product of time itself? I believe the end product is a timeless being that is all, which is your real consciousness: The Buddha. This view is not supernatural nor anthropic because the Buddha is neither human nor religious. Then the nothingness starts the whole process anew. And round and round it goes. Like an infinite dream and, at the end of the dream, the universe wakes up.

You are immortal and you always were. Time has one job, and that is to make your immortality come true. You are no longer human, but you are something much better.

What was the first universe that the nothingness spawned?

Every universe ever spawned is the first universe, like every planet is the center of the universe. There is no up and down in the universe.

There is no up and down: No meaning of life. No meaninglessness of life. We can go on forever. Life has a purpose, though, and that is the infinite replication (from above) that leads to your immortality. The infinite replication is basically this nothingness-generation, that is, the nothingness is making copies of itself. The byproduct of this process is your immortality. If it is not, then eternal death awaits us all because the nothingness-generation is all that is and ever will be.

“time itself is at the wheels:” <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1DW6thQ-bZw>

*The letter:*

[http://www.doctorkoontz.com/Scalar\\_Physics/Letters/Kaku/Open\\_Letter\\_to\\_Dr\\_Michio\\_Kaku.htm](http://www.doctorkoontz.com/Scalar_Physics/Letters/Kaku/Open_Letter_to_Dr_Michio_Kaku.htm)

In reality, your science is nonsense. You need only study a little bit of philosophy to understand that the data supporting Pangea could easily fit into another model that was not half as ugly. *Science deals with models of reality.* It never deals with facts. There is no such thing as a fact. But you need to study a little bit of philosophy to understand that facts are fictions created by man. Start with Nietzsche.

On the plus side, people have commented things like: “I like how your mind works.”

*To sum up:*

Existence always was because nonexistence is impossible. Existence must start in a paradox-free state, obviously. That state is motionless, so it has not moved and therefore it has not formed any tail from which you can generate this infinite regress. Consequently, the motionless state knows no infinite regress or paradox of some kind. Therefore the motionless state knows no infinite regress or paradox of some kind, it can exist. Motionlessness (absolute motion) happens to be a state of motion, which answers why it can give rise to relative motion or spacetime. In addition, spacetime will finish the job that the absolute state began, and the result is the speeding up of time itself culminating in a technological singularity. Then the ebb and flow will continue, and a new wave (technological singularity) will form, ad infinitum.