

Hi. I was wondering if you could look at my novel idea of free energy? It is ca. 11000 words (including two rebuttals + Q&A where we look at the true origin of the cosmos) and should not take too long. I post my idea here, if you dare to look at it. Thanks.

“You don't have time to be timid. You must be bold and daring.”—Lumière, Beauty and the Beast

Draw my idea (number 1):

Draw a car.

Inside the car, draw a torus. This is your free energy device.

Let the torus eject smaller tori (toruses). These are used as fuel.

Let the tori (fuel) spread out into the environment in order to propel the car.

In the environment (outside the car), you find a bigger torus.

Let this torus gobble up your fuel.

Say that the center of all tori = the zeroth-dimension.

Then you can draw a line that links the torus in your car to the bigger torus in the environment. Since the root of all tori is the same, the fuel can end up in your car again. Note that you do not need your original fuel back for this idea to work as other tori in the environment can as easily be gobbled up by the larger tori in the environment.

What is free energy using the concept entropy?

By Thor Fabian Pettersen (2018)

You cannot mess with entropy, so free energy in the light of entropy is one word: resurrection.

Below is a summary of my own idea of free energy that took me over 10 years to accomplish.

In the beginning was ORDER, and then the universe expands and the second law of thermodynamics takes over, making energy run down. This will happen till the end of the universe. What does this mean? It means that science is right: There is no such thing as “free energy.” Our universe is running down, you cannot fire it up again!

But what if you could?

Some man of science says you can. And his name is Roger Penrose. See below.

I was like you. To me, the notion of free energy was equal to the belief in the supernatural. It's just nonsense. I was interested in another thing, “How did the universe begin?” When I had answered this question to myself, which took me many years of contemplation (which is why this whole idea took over 10 years to do), I saw how my answer made possible the reality of free energy.

My answer: We all came from a great nothingness. This nothingness is the way the light views reality: the light views this great nothingness with speed! This speed is the cuboctahedron, and the cuboctahedron's natural affinity is a zero-dimensional

world (google Richard Buckminster Fuller). And it is this zero-dimensional world that opened my eyes to the reality of free energy. The great nothingness, then, is a high-speed world.

Imagine sitting on a beam of light looking back at the universe. What would you see? You would see everything as frozen in time. However, if things were eternally frozen, which they are on the light's point of view, then there was no time in which things could freeze! Consequently, then, since we have no time in which anything can ever be, we have no thing. Nothingness. Further, since we have no time in which anything can ever be, we also have no time in which anything can ever decay. That is, from the light's point of view, there was always nothingness and ORDER.

In addition, we have free energy. That is what free energy is, that is, an eternally existing ORDER. This order will always reset the clocks, so to speak, and make unavailable energy become available again.

How did the zero-dimensional world open my eyes to the reality of free energy? Because, in the beginning was ORDER. (I joke that God stands for the "g"eometry of the oD world.)

Imagine you have a box with "ordered gas," and then you open the box, then the gas will spread out into the environment and become disordered. However, if the environment shrunk to the size of the box, then the disordered gas would have no choice but to go back into the box. However, the disordered gas would still be disordered in the box because the box has dimensions, and therefore the probability that the atoms in the gas would rearrange themselves just the way they were in the beginning before we opened the box is close to zero. However, if the box had zero extension, then the disordered gas would become totally ordered again because all the atoms would have no choice but to go back into the same slot, which is the zero-dimensional point/world. Nature can accomplish this oD point/world with fast spin.

Ok, you say. Free energy is possible IF we could shrink space-time in this way, then we could revive stuff, but, it would take stupefying amounts of energy shrinking space-time this way.

My idea is that, if we came from a great nothingness, then that nothingness is not detached from the universe; that nothingness is still there. The zeroth-dimension is still there, meaning that we don't have to shrink space-time because it is already shrunk. If there are these holes or pockets in space that lead to the zeroth-dimension, then dead matter can fall into these pockets and thus be revived as shown above.

If the Big Bang is like this mass that exploded, then every point in that explosion is the source (it follows). If the source is spread out, then every point in space will contain the zeroth dimension (i.e., the source). "Dead matter" that falls into one of these pockets leading to the zeroth dimension, will be revived by the zeroth dimension because all the atoms would have no choice but to go back into the same slot. The zeroth dimension spins really fast, and the revived matter can thus be ejected/released (again).

Thus is free energy accomplished.

Roger Penrose (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4YYWUIxGdl4>) suggested a resurrection mechanism for the universe as a whole, therefore, the universe as a whole is free; but, if we live in the fractal universe, which the cuboctahedron suggests, then one can imagine the whole holistic reanimation process working with individual atoms; which means, we do not break any symmetry laws; and then we are dealing with real free energy that is waiting for some intelligent species to come along and exploit it.

That is, why should the universe as a whole resurrect and not its individual parts like atoms? No. The universe is not special in any way, which suggests that the individual parts can resurrect also.

Roger Penrose made free energy (resurrection) a reality for the universe as a whole. Finally, we get a physicist who is also a philosopher. However, since we are a part of the whole, Penrose's "free energy" is of no practical value. What we need is to install the resurrection-machine that is the universe in our cars. But, we cannot fit the whole universe! in our car, can we? Yes, we can, if the universe itself is a bbb (basic building block), then the resurrection-machine is a bbb, and then we just use a smaller bbb that will fit in our car or spacecraft.

That bbb is the cuboctahedron.

“But how would free energy work in practice?”

My idea simplified, is this: If we came from the beginning of time (i.e., the nothingness) and, if the beginning of time is always ordered and, if there are pockets or holes in the universe directly leading to the beginning of time, say, as remnants of the Big Bang, then old material in the universe can find these pockets and merge with the beginning of time once more, and then the beginning of time will revive the old stuff because the beginning of time is always ordered. Then the revived stuff can find its way out of the beginning of time as it once did. If the beginning of time is, say, the heart of any cuboctahedron (i.e., the dual torus) and, if you can find a cuboctahedron that can fit into your car (we can because the cuboctahedron can be scaled), then the beginning of time can feed your car recycled stuff that stems ultimately from the universe itself.

The fuel of our spacecraft goes into the environment. Our spacecraft is propelled.

In the environment (i.e., space), there are pockets leading to the zeroth-dimension.

The remnants of the fuel find the zeroth-dimension and is revived.

Your free energy apparatus is one of the pockets.

The revived stuff can thus enter your spacecraft anew and be used as fuel.

The fuel of our spacecraft goes into the environment. Our spacecraft is propelled.

Repeat. Ad infinitum.

Nature can move in and out of these pockets by way of the torus' spin. That is, the torus expands and contracts simultaneously.

If the beginning of time can never die, then it is with us, even today. And so we can manipulate it. Basically, my idea is the bold idea of placing a miniature model of Immortal Nature in our car. We need to replace old bits of the car as it falls apart, but needless to say, you could drive this car until your will wears out! Now, imagine going into space with this free energy device. We would not need to tank up and the warp

drive will be a thing of reality because that is what the cuboctahedron really is: Nature (i.e., the cuboctahedron) is a warp drive!

The cuboctahedron is our future. With it, we shall travel to distant galaxies, and we never have to tank up.

Curiosity:

How does the great nothingness (i.e., the cuboctahedron) birth space?

What I believe: The great nothingness is a high-speed world that spins so fast that time itself becomes curled up into this zero-dimensional knot. Time itself loses meaning. But, in order to accomplish such high velocity, that is, in order to accomplish the great nothingness, you need the cuboctahedron. The cuboctahedron, in turn, generates enough speed so that the great nothingness stays a great nothingness forever, but, the way that the cuboctahedron does this, is by generating a dual torus, which is essentially a cosmic whirlpool. Thus, space-time (i.e., the cosmic whirlpool) is a byproduct of nothingness-generation. What we see as space-time are the slower moving parts of the cosmic whirlpool that cannot keep up with the fast pace (i.e., the nothingness) in the center because all compete for a place in the center, and the whole thing becomes like a traffic jam. The ones who get stuck in traffic = space-time. The ones who make it = nothingness. We are the froth that the nothingness generates.

“But how did the Motion get here?”

If Motion is really zero-dimensional (i.e., the nothingness) in nature, then it has always existed and it does not require a cause because it is so simple, it is zero-dimensional! It exists even if you removed everything. Even a nonexistence would contain zero-extension/motion. If a reality of zero-extension can birth space-time, then existence is inherent and nonexistence is impossible. But, I agree, we are still scratching our heads at this point! But this is as far as my imagination takes me.

If nothingness exists as this high-speed state and it is impossible to “mold” nothingness any other way, then we see why existence is because existence is the great nothingness. That is, a nothingness that cannot give birth to space-time thus becomes logically impossible.

Ok. But what about removing nothingness altogether and insert something like nonexistence. This nonexistence is the true nothingness of the philosophers.

Imagine a zero-extension, it is not even black! It is nothing. You cannot imagine it. It is so great a nothingness that nothingness can ever be.

I maintain that even a nonexistence would have to contain zero-extension. It is just that zero-extension is not this “no property” of the philosophers, but can only be accomplished with high speed. That is, if you get rid of the high speed in order to truly create a nonexistence with no properties whatsoever (i.e., from nothing, nothing comes), then you do not get zero-extension therefore zero-extension is speed (high) and speed is a property. Thus, a nonexistence with zero properties, is truly bizarre!

Thus, the reason why existence is is because existence is not bizarre, but neat, clean, paradox-free; free of causality, time, space, everything, except a zero-extension. The zero-extension, as we saw, can only be accomplished with high speed. That is, it is not that this high speed generates the zero-extension per se, it is something much stronger: The high speed is zero-extension. This high speed can generate space-time. And because space-time is a byproduct of nothingness, we still get an existence that is not bizarre, but neat, clean, paradox-free; free of causality, time, space, everything, except a zero-extension. Space-time is not clean, but the zero-extension is, and that is what counts.

Moving on:

Free energy has NOTHING to do with a perpetual motion machine. The perpetual motion machine is, for lack of a better word, bullshit.

Free energy does not violate any laws. Energy cannot be created nor destroyed.

And free energy has absolutely nothing to do with the infinite (aka infinite energy). Infinity is hogwash! Scientists say that free energy is impossible because they, for whatever reason, believe that free energy = infinite energy. Energy is always finite. It comes in finite packets. Free energy is resurrected energy—and that is a very different paradigm.

Why the world does not spend any money pursuing free energy boggles the mind when the man who gave us electricity and the modern world in the first place said it was possible! That man is Nikola Tesla.

“But won’t the free energy device itself require energy to function?”

Probably. But you see, and this is **THE KEY**: The mechanism that drives the dual torus (aka our free energy device) is the nothingness itself. However, the nothingness knows no environment because it is zero-dimensional. Therefore, the nothingness will keep on going and nothing can slow it down or stop it because there is nothing that can act on it or influence it. The zeroth dimension does not require fuel!

Thus the resurrection does not cost anything, because it is the properties of the zeroth-dimension themselves that resurrects stuff; it is not a doing, which requires energy; it is a being (being the zeroth-dimension), which requires no energy in order to resurrect matter.

Free energy (viz., resurrection) is real because otherwise Nature would die and stay dead forever. But if Nature could die, she would have done so long before our universe came into existence. The fact that Nature cannot die, testifies to the reality of free energy. In simple terms, Nature is dying (i.e., the second law of thermodynamics), so why hasn’t she died? The answer is: She has. Many times. But she resurrects! That is how Nature is immortal. Nikola Tesla believed in the reality of free energy. For him, there was no question.

Professors like Robert W. Koontz says free energy is possible, so it is not that scientists do not believe at all in its possibility.

Con:

“Inflation ends, and the Universe gets filled with matter and radiation. That is that. We don’t see more energy streaming in! ...There. Happy now? I just proved that your “little theory” is wrong.”

First, my idea is not about “more energy streaming in.” Energy is conserved in my hypothesis: Old material in the universe returns to the initial state of things, resurrects, and then goes another round. Ad infinitum. That is, if you measured the energy content in the universe on this model, you would not notice anything missing. If you count ten bottles and one bottle is replaced as you count, then you still count ten bottles. The scientists measuring the state of things would be clueless!

“In order to be scientific, you need to reproduce all the successes of the past. Your theory needs to be novel. And nature needs to test it relentlessly.”

Ok. I never said that my “theory” of free energy is a “scientific theory.” My free energy hypothesis is philosophical and may one day evolve to become a scientific theory. Even Einstein’s theories were philosophical at first, and then it evolved.

Natural philosophy: Rocks seek the earth because it is their element, which is why, when you drop a stone, it falls down because it is seeking its natural habitat.

After the Elemental Universe, we get the Mechanical Universe of Newton.

After the Mechanical Universe, we get the Relative Universe of Einstein.

After the Relative Universe, we get Quantum Mechanics: You know, by the time you have figured out what quantum mechanics is, you are dead ... or alive!

After that, we get String Theory in one hand and Neil Turok in the other!

So man is fallible and science is God? Science has had more Universes than there are universes in the Multiverse!

And now, finally, Lawrence Krauss has entered the final frontier with his Physics of Nothingness.

My idea also revolves around The Nothingness. To state that my idea is wrong and that I am ignorant is, to me, premature because physics has just entered the final frontier.

There was nothing before the Big Bang, but how long did nothing stay a nothing before it exploded?

When you answer this question, then you can tell if my idea about free energy is right or wrong.

Take the biggest magnifying glass you can ever come up with. Peer into the atom and keep looking. Eventually, you will hit rock bottom. What do you see? You

see nothing. Or, if you see something, you just got to fetch a bigger magnifying glass! That is, if you take a table and then ask: "What are the legs made of?" Then you keep asking: "What is the thing that the legs are made of?" "And, what is the thing that the thing that the legs are made of?" Finally, you will reach rock bottom and, there is nothing there! Nothingness. Why is that? My answer is that the zeroth-dimension is REAL. Once you hit rock bottom, the space-time is so curved that it has zero-extension. But what is this zero-extension made of? Well, you reduce the complex universe down to its original simple state, and you are left with basic motion. And you think, this slow motion is not strong enough to curl space-time in this way. What about fast motion? Ah! Eureka! And then you sit on a beam of light that is the fastest thing in the universe, and then you look back at the universe and what do you see? Exactly! You see nothing! You see the great nothingness that can only be accomplished with high speed. And now you did it, you solved the Puzzle of Puzzles! How did it all begin? It began with the way the light views reality.

And if the zeroth-dimension is there, then material can fall in there and be resurrected.

My idea will only be understood in the future, I guess.

Aftermath:

There was nothing before the Big Bang, but how long did nothing stay a nothing before it exploded?

My answer: The nothingness is always there. It stays a nothing forever. And if the zeroth-dimension is there, then stuff can fall in there and be resurrected.

Free energy = resurrected energy.

But when did the Big Bang take place within this nothingness?

My answer: The nothingness is generated by speed. The geometry of speed is the cuboctahedron. The cuboctahedron generates the nothingness by way of the dual torus. The arms/wings of the dual torus = space-time. The center of the dual torus = curved up space-time (i.e., nothingness). That is, space-time is a by-product of nothingness-generation. The cuboctahedron is always in motion, which means that the dual torus always was, but this is not a problem when motion in its initial state is motionless because it is so fast (i.e., the speed of light/nothingness). That is, “when” becomes “always.” The Big Bang is always taking place, which suggests something like mini-bangs occurring. But this does not mean that energy is created. That is, ALL is the dual torus that spins in and out of its center. As it does, it copies itself because it is a feedback loop that feeds back to itself—and we get time, inflation and evolution, and, I believe, consciousness.

How E.T. travels through space: Stone skipping! If this feedback loop curves space-time naturally, then, if you were to travel through it, you would be like a stone skipping on water. That is, if you invent the warp drive, then, because of this feedback loop that the torus is, you don't curve space-time and go from a to b, rather, you go from point to point to point like a bullet skipping huge distances of space-time. You essentially become like a particle. Hell, maybe that is why the particle acts the way it does, because it is a feedback loop that, once it has fed back, it becomes the next octave (it expands) on your piano, as it were. Imagine, everything is this feedback system!

Consciousness may be primal and immortal.

But if you get mini-bangs that are ALWAYS occurring, then you can go backwards in infinity forever and there is no start. Just turtles all the way!

No. Because, you see, the cuboctahedron is a system, it is not a structure, meaning that the initial curled up space-time is formless, just like breathing. It has no form! So you can't form the turtles either. “Formless turtles all the way to nowhere,” is my answer to the Big Question. The Train to Nowhere. Maybe the quantum world is acting so weird because the quantum is actually a torus, and the torus' wings are like the atom and the torus' center is the formless nothingness. So the quantum experiences both worlds at the same time, which is why it is acting so weird. The quantum has form and is formless at the same time. Reality is a mix of water

(formlessness/timelessness) and ice (form/time). But, the water and the ice are the same thing. They are not separate, per se—which, once again, suggests that the zeroth-dimension is here in the universe, living among us! Which means that free energy is real.

The formless water is always in motion because motionlessness is a high-speed motion, and sometimes the formless water creates these crystals or something. “When” these crystals appear is time zero. For any crystal that appear, it is time zero for it, thus we get the Relative Universe. Before that, we get timelessness.

Maybe fast speed curves space-time. Nothingness curves space-time. There is no such thing as Gravity (in that sense). All is motion. There is no “mass.”

Free lunch. Free energy. Freedom.

Rebuttal:

Re: I googled Fuller and found nothing.

A: When I say google Fuller, you have to, of course, google Fuller's views on the cuboctahedron! (Is it my fault for asking too much of the reader?)

Re: The cuboctahedron is a 3D object.

A: This is wrong. The cuboctahedron is a system. It is not an object or shape. It is formless.

Re: The cuboctahedron's natural affinity is the 3D environment.

A: This is also wrong. In Fuller's own words, *"The vector equilibrium is the zero point for happenings or nonhappenings: it is the empty theater and empty circus and empty universe ready to accommodate any act and any audience."*—<http://www.azquotes.com/quote/935863>

Re: A zeroth-dimension has no dimension.

A: Of course the zeroth-dimension does not have a geometry, hence the 0 (zeroth). That is what the cuboctahedron means! In Fuller's own words, *"Because of the fundamental nonsimultaneity of universal structuring, a single, simultaneous, static model of Universe is inherently both nonexistent and conceptually impossible as well as unnecessary. Ergo, Universe does not have a shape. Do not waste your time, as man has been doing for ages, trying to think of a unit shape "outside of which there must be something," or "within which, at center, there must be a smaller something.""*—[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Synergetics_\(Fuller\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Synergetics_(Fuller))

Re: By giving the atoms in a gas less space/room to work with, you can reduce the entropy.

A: This is my idea: If a nothingness exists, then it can create order out of disorder.

Re: When the gas compresses, the heat (and also the entropy) in the gas will leak out into the environment.

A: You have not read my idea at all or else you would not write this. Of course, the energy dissipates out into the environment! My idea was that, if a nothingness birthed our universe, then there may be pockets or holes leading to the nothingness, e.g., as remnants of the Big Bang. Then stuff can fall into these pockets and thus be revived.

Re: In summa:

1. You will always use more energy than what you can get back.
2. The total entropy in the universe will always increase.

A: The New Account will look something like:

1. You do not need to waste energy resurrecting matter because the universe does it all by itself.
2. The total entropy in the universe will always increase, but Nature resurrects, so the total entropy in the Big Picture will be zero (0); which is the way of the nothingness, is not it?

If the total entropy in the Big Picture is more than zero, then we live in a nonsensical Existence indeed. This is what Penrose saw, and he noted that physicists are not philosophers! Because, if Nature is always dying, without having the possibility of resurrection, then that is truly a bizarre and illogical picture, aka “turtles all the way down.” However, instead of turtles, replace them with “dying physicists.” Dying physicists all the way down! Alternatively, intersperse a miracle as the physicists do! Again, physicists are not philosophers! At least one brave physicist among you is willing to admit that.

You need the tower of “dying physicists” in order to explain the fact that Nature is always dying (since Eternity), but, we are here! How do you explain that? You either say that there is a tower of “dying physicists” all the way down, that way you escape Grand Death because there is always someone dying; or, you terminate the illogical tower and intersperse a MIRACLE in order to explain the curious fact that we are here while death rules! It does not add up. To a physicist’s simple brain, then the answer is as follows: There was a Big Bang. Things are dying. That is that. Forever. Well, this is as illogical as his anti-philosophical brain. The only possible resolution to the Paradox of Death is that Nature can resurrect. That explains why we are here. Death cannot explain why we are here. Resurrection can. Resurrection I defined as free energy for the simple reason that, if you placed the resurrection mechanism in your car, then you could drive your car forever. This is the dream of free energy. Free energy was never about “magic,” something that the anti-philosophical brain of the physicist does not seem to understand and may never understand.

Re: If you spin something, things will expand, not contract.

A: I am talking about the ferocious spin of the nothingness, and you give me balls to play with?! In my mind's eye, the walls of such a cosmic whirlpool must be so strong that you curve space-time in upon itself! That is what the nothingness is. Nothingness is a spin that is so strong that it bends space-time into this null-extension where time and disorder lose meaning. Here, Order becomes mandatory.

“[...] accomplish this oD point/world with fast spin.” With a “fast spin” here, I meant the spin of the cuboctahedron. When you fold/spin the cuboctahedron, it will generate a torus (dual). The torus folds in upon itself.

Re: If you could spin things so fast that you create a zeroth-dimension, then you would create a black hole. You could get energy out of these, but you would need at least the same amount of energy to create them. This is a zero-sum game and hence not “free energy.”

A: Yes, you would create a black hole. Moreover, we do not need to create these; they are ready out there.

My idea in a nutshell:

If you look at the cosmos as this giant tree where the branches are the fourth-dimension and the root is the zeroth-dimension, then all building blocks may be such a tree. Then, all you need to do is to invent a machine that can catch the energy flow before it reaches the fourth-dimension as black hole radiation, and some place after the zeroth-dimension (obviously!). You catch it on its way. In this way, you can have radiation from a black hole in space end up in your car (obviously, before it radiates out of the black hole in space). Or, to be more precise: You can have the radiation end up in your car before it ends up as black hole radiation.

This is so ingenious, because the day we venture into space, our spacecraft will receive fuel directly from the nothingness, and we will never have to tank up ever again. Our dream has come true.

The Dream:

“I have harnessed the cosmic rays and caused them to operate a motive device. More than 25 years ago I began my efforts to harness the cosmic rays and I have succeeded. Electric power is everywhere present, in unlimited qualities. This new power for the driving of the world's machinery will be derived from the energy which operates in the universe, without the need for coal, gas, oil, or any other fuel.”—Nikola Tesla (<https://www.techbubble.info/blog/disruptive-technologies/entry/the-journey-to-nikola-teslas-dream-of-free-energy-for-everyone>)

Note: the torus may be a black and a white hole due to its expansion and contraction phases.

To sum up: A black hole in another galaxy can fuel your car due to the nature of the Cosmic Tree.

If you try to catch the energy in the fourth-dimension, then it may end up on the other side of the universe.

If you try to catch the energy in the zeroth-dimension, then YOU may end up on the other side of the universe!

However, what if there is a point where the entire universe becomes available, say on the 2D holographic plane? If this works, you can “tap” any black hole, star, or whatever from your garage! The root of any and all black holes are the same root. There is only one zeroth-dimension. (This is just a curiosity that entered my mind while mulling the nature of the Holographic Universe.)

“If you want to find the secrets of the universe, think in terms of energy, frequency and vibration.”—Nikola Tesla
(https://www.goodreads.com/author/quotes/278.Nikola_Tesla)

What if “frequency” is just another word for “dimension?” Change frequency and go down in the dimensions where the entire universe becomes available and connected. You can access a black hole that is light years away. The illusion of space dissolves. Suddenly, you stand face to face with the black hole, as it were. And whatever that is, it is not a zero-sum game! Open up the Gates to the Underworld. Let the fury of that world sustain the gate. This is not “overunity” in the magical sense either. And note that, if we could tap energy this way, then free energy does not have to be a real thing either as we can tap the cosmos dry first. But then we are out of luck. So we better hope that free energy, that is, the resurrection of matter, is real.

Can it be done?

I’m inclined to think that, if we are ever visited by aliens, and we ask, “How did you get here?” Whatever they reply, they will not say, “O, we have gas stations spread across the vastness of space.” No. They will receive their fuel directly from space itself.

Re: And when the said matter escapes the zero-dimensional hole, don’t you think that it will end up even more disorganized than before as it gains its old temperature back? Yes, it would.

A: No. It will not for the simple reason that you turn the disordered state back into its original ordered state (i.e., the way it was in the nothingness). But the point is: the fuel of your car is dispersed out into the environment; from here, it finds its way to the zeroth-dimension. From the zeroth-dimension, it finds its way back to your car! because your car carries the tunnel to the zeroth-dimension in the form of your free energy apparatus. You get your old fuel back (not that you need that; it is just a thought-experiment). This can work because the zeroth-dimension is everywhere; it is nonlocal. I believe it lies in the heart of all building blocks. In short, even if it was more disorganized than before, so what?

Re: Your zero understanding of reality, geometry, logic and science is so absolute that it can work only as fiction. I don't want to waste more time on this. You fall in the "Not even wrong." category:

https://rationalwiki.org/wiki/Not_even_wrong

A: Ok. I see what you are trying to do (i.e., ultimate character assassination) and I am not even going to go there (because if you spit a word you need to back it up; which he failed to do). But at the end of the day in Deepak Chopra Land, even RationalWiki maintains that free energy is possible if: *"Maxwell's Demon — a thought experiment raised by James Clerk Maxwell in which a Demon guards a hole in a diaphragm between two containers of gas. Whenever a molecule passes through the hole, the Demon either allows it to pass or blocks the hole depending on its speed. It does so in such a way that hot molecules accumulate on one side and cold molecules on the other. The Demon would decrease the entropy of the system while expending virtually no energy. This would only work if the Demon was not subject to the same laws as the rest of the universe or had a lower temperature than either of the containers. Any real-world implementation of the Demon would be subject to thermal fluctuations, which would cause it to make errors (letting cold molecules to enter the hot container and vice versa) and prevent it from decreasing the entropy of the system."*—

[https://rationalwiki.org/wiki/Free_energy_\(pseudoscience\)](https://rationalwiki.org/wiki/Free_energy_(pseudoscience))

And I just found the Ultimate Demon, which is the nothingness itself! This demon does not sit in any 3D environment because its world is a zero-dimensional one and would thus not be "subject to the same laws as the rest of the universe." And it would not make any errors because all the molecules would have no choice but to go back into the same slot, which is the zero-dimensional point/world. Moreover, this resurrection process would not cost energy because it is not a doing, which requires energy; it is a being (being the zeroth-dimension), which requires no energy. If existence proper/nothingness required energy in order to function, then that energy can only stem from an invisible pink unicorn with magical powers.

Re: This concludes my commentary. Please, never contact me again.

A: Well, I had to give you my reply, at least. To be honest, I do not believe you understand my idea. Moreover, my idea has nothing to do with Roger Penrose (he called Penrose a crackpot!) as you insinuated. My idea is my own. It is a philosophical idea. Have you truly looked at it? Nevertheless, I was wondering, can I use your rebuttal so that people can make up their mind about my work? To give them the pros and cons. I will translate your words into English. Can I use your name on the cons?

If you do not reply, I will go ahead and use your rebuttal anyway, but I will not use your name (he did not reply).

Anyway, thanks a lot for your time! I really appreciate it. Fabian

And, again, free energy does not violate any laws. You instantly think that free energy = a perpetual motion machine. But free energy has nothing to do with a perpetual motion machine. Please just understand that!

A perpetual motion machine = I can go forever without fuel. That is like saying, "I can play the piano without a piano to play on." It is just nonsense. And I get the frustration from people who claim that free energy is impossible because these people equate free energy with a perpetual motion machine. But why do they do that?! That is a mystery to me. It must be that someone was right: Physicists are not philosophers. If they were, then they would worry about the: Dying physicists all the way down!

"Philosophy of science is about as useful to scientists as ornithology is to birds." – Richard Feynman (<https://www.goodreads.com/quotes/tag/philosophy-of-science>)

This quote (above) sums up all the hate and ignorance one gets.

Rebuttal 2:

Re 2: The thought-experiment where you sit on a beam of light: Time for the observer is not necessarily the same for that which is observed. Look up Special Relativity of 1905.

A: In the thought-experiment, the observer and observed (viz., the light) become one. From our point of view on the ground, then we do not see the nothingness that the light sees every day and all the time. We “see” that the light utilizes 8 minutes to reach us from the sun. The sun sees “The World of Nothingness.” This World of Nothingness is a great clue to how it all began.

I know about the Theory of Relativity in layman’s terms.

Re 2: The thought-experiment of the ordered gas may contain a logical deficiency. I presume that the environment which the gas spreads into, contains another gas, like air. Thus, we get a mixture of gas and air, which then compresses when the environment shrinks to the size of the box. The gas mixture is still in a state of disorder as long as the gas and the air are mixed together, no matter where each particle is located.

A: You have to go further. I go one step further in the thought-experiment and try to imagine what would happen to the gas if the environment shrunk down to the zeroth-dimension (e.g., a black hole singularity or, if possible, smaller). Then the gas would be ordered again as it was in the Big Bang.

Re 2: The term “zeroth-dimension” is weak. Are you referring to a singularity? I don’t know where you get the idea that this dimension spins really fast.

A: The definition of the zeroth-dimension is, literally, when we have no dimensions at all or when space-time displays no extension. All we have is a great nothingness. Imagine that we have no propagation of space-time—we are left with a great nothingness.

The reason why the zeroth-dimension spins really fast is that, in our thought-experiment, we saw that the light and the nothingness are related (they may be the same in some way). Light travels with great speed and I needed a geometry that fit that description. I searched and found the cuboctahedron. However, when we fold the cuboctahedron, we get spin. In addition, even a straight line is a circle with infinite radius, therefore, at the end of the day, spin (and nothing else) must generate the nothingness if it exists. That is, you must have something that is strong enough to curve space-time completely (viz., into a zero-dimensional knot) if you want to make nothingness.

Re 2: The thought that the universe is not special in any way so that the individual parts can be recycled/resurrected, is unfounded.

A: My reason for the possible resurrection of the individual parts was that we might live in a fractal universe. This can be proven true or false.

Re 2: I am still not sure what you mean by “the cuboctahedron.” It cannot be something as simple as the geometric term?

A: Yes. It can. You can read about it here: <http://cosmometry.net/vector-equilibrium-&-isotropic-vector-matrix>

Re 2: There is a logical deficiency in thinking that the nothingness can affect our world but that our world cannot affect it. If the nothingness cannot be affected by anything in our world, then neither can the nothingness affect our world.

A: It can because it is the properties of the zeroth-dimension themselves that resurrect stuff; it is not a doing, which requires energy; it is a being (being the zeroth-dimension), which requires no energy in order to resurrect matter.

In other words, the nothingness does not “affect” our world. It simply orders stuff because it is this zero-dimensional “slot.”

In other words, it is all about geometry. Like in the movie 300 (2006): At the battle of Thermopylae, King Leonidas and his brave men defended majestically against a huge Persian army because the topography of the Hot Gates allowed it. Free energy is similar. The tight center of the torus in the microworld allows the dead to be resurrected.

Re 2: For every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction (Newton).

A: Newton here only applies to the interactions of Existence; it does not apply for Existence itself. I define Existence as the nothingness (I may not have been clear on that point). In order for Existence to exert a force on a “second Existence,” the second Existence must exist.

One last con:

As everyone seems to be using RationalWiki as their rational source, let us digest one more: *“Free energy runs in paradox with the first and second laws of thermodynamics; because of the relationship of entropy and work, either the amount of mass/energy in the universe would have to increase (in over-unity devices), or the amount of entropy would need to decrease for a free energy device to function.”*—RationalWiki

[https://rationalwiki.org/wiki/Free_energy_\(pseudoscience\)](https://rationalwiki.org/wiki/Free_energy_(pseudoscience))

This is totally false! You don't create more energy, which is impossible. You do not decrease entropy for the universe, which is impossible in the Big Picture. You recycle stuff using the ultimate demon, which is the zeroth-dimension. That way, you don't decrease the entropy, you balance it. That way, you are one/friends with the nothingness whence you came.

Third option: ... Or the amount of entropy would need to *balance* for a free energy device to function.

If you count ten bottles and one bottle is replaced as you count, then you still count ten bottles.

Note: the torus may be such a balance-machine due to its expansion (increase in entropy) and contraction (decrease in entropy) phases.

The reasoning behind free energy is this:

Nature is immortal. She therefore has an immortal heart that pumps blood forever. If we utilized this pump, we too would become immortal and drive our spacecraft forever.

Q & A:

Q: “But if all this is true, why is not my living room! or my kitchen, or my garage, recycling matter and releasing it into my living room! or my kitchen, or my garage?”

A: Because it is, on a small scale. But the big tori eat up the smaller ones (that is Nature’s way), thus the huge bulk of matter recycling happens in big, black holes. Black holes are like the Creators of the Cosmos.

Q: Did the Big Bang singularity have infinite energy? Does your black hole Creator have infinite energy? Is the singularity = the black hole? Is your black hole = nothingness? Is nothingness energy? Does nothingness have infinite energy? If nothingness has zero energy, then what is zero energy? Uncertainty principle added to the nothingness, what happens?

A: To answer these profound questions, we need to understand the question, “What is Reality?”

What is Reality?

The uncertainty principle = you cannot have precise values of position and velocity simultaneously: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zero-point_energy

According to modern physics, then Reality is the uncertainty principle which says that even motionless bodies must display a little motion. You cannot get stuck. In other words, true motionless bodies cannot exist. So, at a fundamental level, motion is displayed.

Philosophically speaking, this makes sense because, if a body truly stopped and you have to keep its absolute position, then you could look at the object with an omnipotent eye, and you could peer into the object with absolute precision, and find what it is made of, and you would soon discover a paradox: If it is made of something, then that something must be made of something, ad infinitum! This is why real objects are not made of anything. Real objects wave back and forth, giving the impression or illusion that they are made of something.

That is, if a body truly stopped and you have to keep its absolute position, then I guess you would have created a paradox of Zeno and Existence itself would rip apart with no clue as to how to behave. Magic makes more sense!

Why would it rip apart? I do not know what would happen, because this is impossible, but paradoxes, like that of Zeno, make motion impossible. And you have

no First Mover to kick it into gear again (unless you believe in supernatural forces). Or, you could use the magic of mathematics to make it work, but this just goes to show that mathematicians, like physicists, are not philosophers.

In summa: Motion always is. Motion is inherent in Nature.

But this, in turn, offers a new paradox: If Motion ever was, then we have a Tower of Turtles or, we ask, “What set it off?” I resolve this paradox by saying that Motion = the zeroth-dimension. That way, Real Motion spins so fast that we have space-time that is totally curled up, thus beating the Tower of Turtles. Real Motion is motionless/nothingness. But this is not the kind of motionlessness as above. This new motionlessness is fast and furious motion. This fast motion can birth space-time.

The Dark Tower: If Motion ever was, then did the particle start “over here” or “over there?” It seems we have a Dark Tower of Turtles on our hands.

In summa: Fast Motion always is. It is the nothingness whence we all came.

Then you ask, “How can the zeroth-dimension/nothingness “just exist?” What made it?”

The indirect answer is: If Motion = the zeroth-dimension/nothingness, then, if you want to create a Nonexistence that can replace Existence (our nothingness), you would have to toss the zeroth-dimension (motion) out of the window because you do not want it. But then you suddenly have, say, anti-motion on your hands; this must be a bizarre creature indeed. I think this anti-motion is = to the body (above) that truly stopped while it kept its absolute position! In other words, Nonexistence is impossible, because, if it were not, then Nonexistence would be possible and Existence would not be here, as I guess Nonexistence would call shotgun. Or, both Nonexistence and Existence are possible, in which case, we are still here. We are here no matter what!

The direct answer: The zeroth-dimension is so simple (it is zero-dimensional) that it requires no explanation as to why it is here.

The gap answer: This is that true gap (that will forever be there and can never be filled) that points to a supernatural explanation. This is not illogical, unsound, or any of it. It simply means that the physical world lies in a much bigger world that gave rise to it. E.g.: We could be a timeless (highly evolved) being dreaming the universe into being. Thus, the universe suffers from dream-logic. The logic is sound until you look at the seams. Look at the seam and you know it's a dream. The illogical logic of dreams happens because the dream has no origin, so it must make up its own

version of origins. The true origin of the dream lies in the brain of the one having the dream, but, for the dream, this “other reality” is simply not there. (Yes. I have personally experienced Nirvana. I know that this is a dream.)

The real answer: I have NO CLUE! We are still monkeys scratching our heads. But, at least the saying, “Motion is inherent in Nature” is not paradoxical anymore.

But what does modern physics say?

They say, “Zero energy.”

Matter cancels gravity and vice versa. This is ingenious! Suddenly you start to think that physicists are philosophers after all.

“Astrophysicists Alexei Filippenko at the University of California, Berkeley and Jay Pasachoff at Williams College explain gravity's negative energy by way of example in their essay, "A Universe From Nothing": "If you drop a ball from rest (defined to be a state of zero energy), it gains energy of motion (kinetic energy) as it falls. But this gain is exactly balanced by a larger negative gravitational energy as it comes closer to Earth's center, so the sum of the two energies remains zero.”

<https://www.livescience.com/33129-total-energy-universe-zero.html>

And “Einstein stopped in his tracks and, since we were crossing a street, several cars had to stop to avoid running us down.”

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zero-energy_universe

No wonder Einstein stopped, in his mind's eye, he saw how it all began!

Cont.: “In other words, the ball's positive energy increases, but at the same time, negative energy is added to the Earth's gravitational field. What was a zero-energy ball at rest in space later becomes a zero-energy ball that is falling through space.”

Cont.: “The universe as a whole can be compared to this ball. Initially, before the big bang, the universe-ball was at rest. Now, after the big bang, it is falling: light and matter exist, and they are moving. And yet, because of the negative energy built into the gravity field created by these particles, the total energy of the universe remains zero.”

Cont.: “The question, then, is why the ball started falling in the first place. How did something – composed of equal positive and negative parts, mind you – come from nothing?”

The answer is the Virtual Particle:

How does modern physics tackle the Origins problem? By being, as always, anti-philosophical. There is that quote again, “*Philosophy of science is about as useful to scientists as ornithology is to birds.*” – Richard Feynman

Let us have a look. Ok. They propose zero-energy. This is cool. Now they show some style. But then we ask, “How did zero energy give rise to the universe?” I mean, it is easy for a mother (gravity) and a father (matter) to birth a baby (zero energy), it is not so easy for a baby to birth a mother and a father! The “knowhow” is missing; Neil deGrasse Tyson asked Lawrence Krauss:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1OLz6uUuMp8>

The anti-philosophical answer: The Virtual Particle!

In the beginning was zero energy, except, it was NOT! because the uncertainty principle says that you have to have some fluctuations. These fluctuations give rise to “mothers” and “fathers” all the time. And we OBSERVE virtual particles, therefore, this is REAL. (No. The existence of virtual particles may have another explanation. There is always the **Third Option** (see above). People seem to forget that. It cannot be randomness. It cannot be chance. Therefore, it must be Creation! Third Option: Evolution. Never forget that!)

“But what if there is a Greater Mother that can cancel the fluctuations (the Annoying Fathers), thus truly yielding a Universe from Absolute Zero Energy of Nothingness!”

That is cool. But, how do you set THIS ONE OFF? Again, we are back at Richard Feynman’s tell-tale quote. Physicists are not philosophers. Again, you have to have motion, always. And why not have fast motion to boot?

So, let us dissect zero energy and see what it really is:

“If you drop a ball from rest (defined to be a state of zero energy), it gains energy of motion (kinetic energy) as it falls. But this gain is exactly balanced by a larger negative gravitational energy as it comes closer to Earth’s center, so the sum of the two energies remains zero.”

What does this really mean? It means that zero energy = motion. Because when the ball is at the earth’s center, it is still moving ever downwards except you don’t see it because downwards is now a point instead of an elongation/extension through space. Moreover, if Existence is all there is, and I presume the universe is a part of it, then it is all alone and it cannot know if it is big or small or what. So the modern picture of Creation becomes totally bizarre when you cannot have space as it is traditionally defined.

Motion and energy are “separate,” then. Motion is always, and, under the right conditions, it can create energy. How? When the point turns into an elongation, then energy forms.

Say that the ball comes out of a torus (the outward flow), then the ball gains energy. This energy is lost when the ball goes back into the torus (the inward flow) because the extension/elongation now becomes a point in the toroidal center. But, the motion remains. The motion is always there. It cannot be created nor destroyed. And this motion answers why we are here.

To paint a picture: Motion always is. It is like a river. A boat flows on the river. This boat has kinetic energy. But in the river, there is a forest! The boat crashes into the forest and loses energy. We thus have zero energy. But, the forest is not so compact, eventually the boat finds its way out of the forest with the help of the river and creation/space-time happens. The river is a circle and the boat must encounter the forest forever.

The boat flows in the river = positive energy.

The boat crashes into the forest = negative energy.

The boat inside the forest = quantum fluctuations.

In this sense, quantum fluctuations can give rise to the Universe!

Quantum fluctuations are thus found in the heart of the Great Nothingness.

Note that the anti-philosophical answer was correct, it is just that, you have to tell it right! And that is the point.

The work/energy principle:

Work positive = increases speed of the object.

Work negative = decreases speed of the object.

Work neutral = object will remain at a constant velocity.

From: <https://www.khanacademy.org/science/physics/work-and-energy/work-and-energy-tutorial/v/work-and-the-work-energy-principle>

From above: [...] *What we see as space-time are the slower moving parts of the cosmic whirlpool that cannot keep up with the fast pace (i.e., the nothingness) in the center because all compete for a place in the center, and the whole thing becomes like a traffic jam. The ones who get stuck in traffic = space-time. The ones who make it = nothingness. We are the froth that the nothingness generates.*

A new idea on how nothing created something:

What if nothingness is akin to neutral work and will therefore always spin?

The Cosmic Traffic Jam (the Forest above) is like negative work. Once the jam clears, the negative work must add up to the neutral work whence it came (you speed up again), and the result is positive work in the form of kinetic energy or space-time itself.

The nothingness itself = “infinite” potential energy in the sense that the Traffic Jam is eternal and will always occur.

To sum up:

Quantum fluctuations did create our universe. Quantum fluctuations = the fact that the toroidal core/the zeroth-dimension/nothingness cannot actually be zero-dimensional. It is a tight hole, but eventually you will squeeze through it and gain kinetic energy. Gravity is therefore the tight hole. The attraction of gravity is not gravity but the torus flow when it flows back into the tight hole/itself.

The Quantum Fields are of course not fields, they are the tight center in all matter.

Q: “But is not matter created?”

A: Yes, but it is created out of the tight centers. The tight centers are there, eternally. And all these centers are one and the same. “*One fish.*” As David Bohm would say.

Matter or torus flow is created when the cuboctahedron goes out of phase. Break the coherence and the torus resolves back into the cuboctahedron when the cuboctahedron is in phase, meaning when the cuboctahedron is displaying perfect equilibrium/nothingness. Out of phase = when the cuboctahedron folds into the Platonic solids. (Note that the humanoid is the dodecahedral phase of the cuboctahedron, which means that Nature had plans to create us this whole time!)

Q: “What makes the cuboctahedron go out of phase? In other words, “How does nothing (i.e., the cuboctahedron) create something (i.e., the Platonic solids)?”

A: I believe that perfect equilibrium and the fastest possible speed/motion (i.e., the zeroth-dimension) are the same. Perfect equilibrium may simply mean that you are either at rest, or moving at a constant velocity. Thus, it is the speed that makes the nothingness and the “perfect equilibrium” part, is the fact that there is no acceleration from this point, you have attained maximum speed. Because, if perfect equilibrium is just this “stillness,” then how in hell did it go out of phase? You can say, “Chaos did it.” Or, “The uncertainty principle set it off.” But, if you have this fast motion there always, then “God” taps on the brakes and ... But in reality, this tapping of the brakes is the Cosmic Traffic Jam, and the Cosmic Traffic Jam happens because if the maximum speed Eternity has to offer is this spinning thing (as seen when you fold the cuboctahedron)—spinning so fast that space-time is curled up completely—then it will create a wider net that cannot keep up with the fast pace in the center; the result is space-time, or the unfolding of the cuboctahedron as it is no longer in perfect equilibrium/maximum speed. Meaning that the cuboctahedron goes in phase/out of phase eternally, which must look like quantum fluctuations.

Instead of using the uncertainty principle to explain things, we went the other way and explained the uncertainty principle. The uncertainty principle is the fact that the cuboctahedron goes in phase/out of phase eternally. The whole thing is like a set of cosmic lungs. When you breathe in, then you must, at some point, breathe out. Likewise, when the cuboctahedron is in phase, then it must, at some point, go out of phase and vice versa. In fact, our lungs may very well be based on this cosmic blueprint. Nature only copies what is. If you understand man, then you understand the universe because man is a miniature model of the universe. Breathing in = torus expansion (?). Breathing out = torus contraction (?).

Q: “But when was the first quantum fluctuation? When was the first time the cuboctahedron went out of phase? See, you have not beaten the Dark Tower yet!”

A: When the cuboctahedron is in phase, there is no time because the fast speed curves space-time so completely that time loses meaning. There is no extension and therefore no time. All time is now, in this picture. Thus, “when” becomes “where” on the cosmic whirlpool (i.e., the torus) the parts do not move as fast as to attain perfect equilibrium/maximum speed. Note that some parts are always in perfect equilibrium therefore nothingness will always remain a nothingness at the core.

Q: “What if your free energy idea crumbles because you break the coherence (above) when you try to accomplish free energy?”

A: No. Because the center (i.e., the maximum speed (above)) is there eternally. It is the center we want.

But I still maintain that the tight hole (i.e., the maximum speed) is “tight enough” so that matter becomes ordered again. But it does not have to because it is the energy we want. This energy is created by the quantum fluctuations. Insert the torus in your car, and the torus will generate energy for you. But this is not the Creation of Energy in *that* sense! The energy is always there, but you need the right conditions to bring it forth.

Q: “But the energy (i.e., virtual particles) it generates will be negligible!”

A: True. But it is proof of concept where there once was nothing but ridicule. Alternatively, we must find a way to enter the black holes from our garage.

Q: Why does the torus flow back into itself?

A: My answer: Because since it must be real and, there is no as of yet existing dimension in the nothingness, the thing that rises in nothingness must contain its own space, maintenance, everything. In other words, it must look like a feedback loop because you must expand into yourself because there is nothing else to expand into. In other words, it takes the least expensive route. Evolution is all about the maximization of utility. That is why the Lion goes after the weak ones. Why be busy when you can be lazy? We are here because Nature is lazy!

The torus expansion is matter (minus) the torus contraction end-point is gravity (equal) zero energy.

Making zero energy easy to understand:

"The idea of a "zero energy" universe is that the amount of energy you'd have to add to the universe to remove all the attractive forces in this way is equal to the amount of mass that you'd have, once all the particles are separate from each other." (<https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/271138/zero-energy-universe-does-not-make-sense/271143>)

No Big Bang:

"We might decide that there wasn't any singularity. The point is that the raw material doesn't really have to come from anywhere. When you have strong gravitational fields, they can create matter. It may be that there aren't really any quantities which are constant in time in the universe. The quantity of matter is not constant, because matter can be created or destroyed. But we might say that the energy of the universe would be constant, because when you create matter, you need to use energy. And in a sense the energy of the universe is constant; it is a constant whose value is zero. The positive energy of the matter is exactly balanced by the negative energy of the gravitational field. So the universe can start off with zero energy and still create matter. Obviously, the universe starts off at a certain time. Now you can ask: what sets the universe off. There doesn't really have to be any beginning to the universe. It might be that space and time together are like the surface of the Earth, but with two more dimensions, with degrees of latitude playing the role of time." -- Stephen Hawking, "If There's an Edge to the Universe, There Must Be a God" (interview), in Renée Weber, Dialogues With Scientists and Sages: The Search for Unity, 1986. (Also partially reprinted in "God as the Edge of the Universe", in The Scientist, Vol. 1, No. 7, February 23, 1987, p. 15.) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zero-energy_universe)

In summa:

Black Holes may be Creators. This opens up for the possibility of free energy and a growing earth! because the resurrection mechanism is in everything, which means it is also in the core of the earth.

Did the Big Bang singularity have infinite energy? No.

Does your black hole Creator have infinite energy? In a sense, i.e., zero energy.

Is the singularity = the black hole? Yes.

Is your black hole = nothingness? Yes.

Is nothingness energy? No. It is primal motion, fast motion.

Does nothingness have infinite energy? In a sense, i.e., zero energy.

If nothingness has zero energy, then what is zero energy? See above.

Uncertainty principle added to the nothingness, what happens? Existence MUST exist, I guess.

Resolution:

When I think about it, my idea number 2 amounts to tapping the zero-point energy fields of the cosmos. You can argue that tapping the ground state in, say, your garage, doesn't amount to anything because the zero-point energy is the LOWEST possible energy source that you can have. This would be the dumbest place of all to look for energy!

That is true, if you think small. If you think big, say, tap all zero-point fields everywhere, tap the whole ground state of the cosmos (as it were), then you ought to have enough: [...] what if there is a point where the entire universe becomes available, say on the 2D holographic plane? If this works, you can "tap" any black hole, star, or whatever [...]

Why it works: It works because the universe has no shape according to Fuller (above). It works because the universe is not big; its bigness (or any size or form) is an illusion. It works because it makes philosophical sense: If you are all alone, then you cannot know if you are big or small or what because you have nobody to measure yourself against.

Q: "But what if the universe is not alone? What if the universe exists in a multiverse?"

A: Then the multiverse is alone and we get the same result. In other words, there is no such thing as "largeness" because you could always ask, "What is outside the biggest Russian doll?" What is outside the universe? What is outside the Multiverse? What is outside the outside that is outside? And so on. To resolve the paradox, you realize that size is an illusion. If size is an illusion, then maybe you find the right door (frequency), and the cosmos opens up. Suddenly, the ground state will offer more than enough energy. In addition, as an extra Christmas present, you get the Warp Drive.

Einstein said that space-time could bend; in other words, Einstein made it possible to DREAM! Isn't that worth spending a dollar on?

Q: "But what frequency should we look for? How can we make this work in practice?"

A: “I have no idea. My job is to philosophize WHY it works. As long as science says it doesn’t work, then nobody will look for it. So my job is important. The worst enemy of science is science. We should read the history books and count all the times that science was wrong and learn from it. Today, nobody will invest a dollar in free energy because science says it cannot be done. That is madness! You cannot be so arrogant! You do not have the full picture of reality. To state that free energy is impossible is by definition stupid when you do not have the full picture of reality. We should invest for all the times that science was wrong in the past, at least. At least, we should invest a tiny dollar when 20 000 children are dying every day and free energy could stop that overnight. Plus, there will be no more pollution, money, greed; poverty, war, etc.; etc.. As a free energy enthusiast, I cannot help but to think that it is science itself that is the barricade that keeps all the demons (you just read about) confined to our world. Science does this by claiming things they have no right to claim. It is so ironic too, because the Spirit of Science is good. The Spirit of Science is to be humble, yet, all we receive is arrogance, which is anti-science. But that is the world we live in where up is down and the good is really the bad.

“I know that free energy is impossible!” Here is a tip: Take an introductory course in philosophy, and then you will realize that you know nothing.

Q: “But physicists are not philosophers. Physicists are down-to-earth-kind-of-folk. They like concrete examples that they can see with their own eyes. They will not believe your, *“If you are all alone, then you cannot know if you are big or small.”* You must exit the Empyrean Heavens and come down to earth.”

A: Of course! How could I be so blind? Ok. Here is an example. This is a concrete example where your eyes cannot lie. This one you cannot get away from: The man in the mirror fancies you equally backwards as you fancy him. And, can you really tell which side you are living on? The Mirror World is not strange; what is strange is “the normal world,” or, what we call “normal.” This is another reason to believe that the universe is an illusion; for why should nature be “wired” according to our point of view or side of the mirror? Why is our world the right world and the Mirror World the wrong world? They are both right, which means we live “inside” an illusion. Stand in front of it, and think!

If size is an illusion, then it is only a matter of another illusion, time, before we fly to other galaxies.

Note: Idea Number 1 and 2 may, somehow, be the same.