

Free Energy; A Final Look (2018)

By Thor Fabian Pettersen

Ca. 5700 words.

Basically, my idea is like the cat Orion from MIB (1997) with an entire galaxy around its neck. Place that collar in your car, and you can drive a long distance! And no cat needs to be harmed!

The mystery of mysteries:

Is the *cuboctahedron* the nothingness I have been searching for all my life? Yes. It is. Behold the two quotes below.

Richard Buckminster “Bucky” Fuller:

“The vector equilibrium (viz., the cuboctahedron) is the zero starting point for happenings and nonhappenings: it is the empty theater and empty circus and empty Universe ready to accommodate any act and any audience.”—<http://cosmometry.net/vector-equilibrium-&-isotropic-vector-matrix>

And:

“Because of the fundamental nonsimultaneity of universal structuring, a single, simultaneous, static model of Universe is inherently both nonexistent and conceptually impossible as well as unnecessary. Ergo, Universe does not have a shape. Do not waste your time, as man has been doing for ages, trying to think of a unit shape "outside of which there must be something," or "within which, at center, there must be a smaller something.”—[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Synergetics_\(Fuller\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Synergetics_(Fuller))

Preface:

I always try new ways of conveying my free energy idea. If you cannot explain your idea to a child, then Einstein will get furious! We do not want that, so I will try to explain my idea with Einstein’s mad eyes and pointy finger in the background.

First of all, free energy has nothing to do with perpetual motion machines or overunity devices. Just get rid of those things when you try to understand my idea.

Second, my idea is a philosophical one, so don’t ask for the math. There is none.

We will do this in two parts. “Part one” is me trying to convince you through some YouTube vids that Nature is immortal. “Part 2” is how we go about solving the inherent paradox from part one. For that task, I will upload a paper that I did recently.

Part One: Watch this:

Lawrence Krauss thinks that Nature is immortal:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RKApUWxTvWI>

Roger Penrose thinks that Nature is immortal: Google his CCC. Or:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FBfuAVBdcW0>

Ok. I hope you too are convinced.

Philosophically speaking, though, what does it mean that Nature is immortal?

I hope it means the same to you as it does to me. To me, it means that WE WILL ALWAYS HAVE AVAILABLE ENERGY.

The second law of thermodynamics clearly states that all the available energy in the universe will turn into unavailable energy. Sorry, I forgot about Einstein there a minute. What this means, little one, is that: if you think of the universe as your sandwich, once you eat it, it will turn into ... well ... crap. Because that is what happens when you eat a thing. Then, over time, you will grow hungry again, but this time there is no food, because you ate it all, so you will die. In this picture, you are Nature. You are now dead forever.

But, don't cry! In Lawrence Krauss's version above, there will always come new sandwiches (or universes), so you can eat forever and be happy! But what magic can conjure up new sandwiches eternally? One of these days, your supplies will run out! I do not believe in it. So, the next option is for me:

In Roger Penrose's version, the crap resurrects and turns into a sandwich again! Hurray!

Here is my free energy idea: If we could place a sandwich that resurrects in our cars, then we can drive forever. Or, at least till the ends of the universe and back.

Part 2: How to go about it:

Ok. If we could put Eternal Inflation in our cars, then you need a big car! But, if we could put the resurrecting universe of Penrose in our car, then we still need a pretty big car! not to mention that our car is part of the universe that resurrects, so there is that paradox too. Ok. Your idea is madness itself! How do you respond to that?!

If we assume that Existence always was, then a paradox arises: If this object started “over here,” then why cannot it have started “over there?” If you trace the origin of our object, then you can trace it forever in spacetime or you hit a wall, like a great nonexistence. The philosophers call this paradox, “turtles all the way” or, alternatively, “levitating super-turtle.” Both alternatives to the riddle of existence are absurd, which have led some Christian philosophers to conclude, “God did it.” God did it, because the two other alternatives are absurd. Why are they absurd? Well, in the first case, you will go mad diving into infinity

searching for a bottom that by definition is not there. You look like a dog chasing your own tale (Yes: tale). Madness! In the latter case, we encounter the impotent god. But something cannot come from “actually nothing.” If you believe that, then you are as mad as the lunatic in our first case! Madness. Madness. And utter madness!

BTW, the Buddhists have solved this puzzle thousands of years ago. They simply state that the universe, THEREFORE, must be a dream, which would explain the paradox of origins: The beginning of the dream cannot be found within the dream. The dream-version of The Beginning is bound to be paradoxical, which it is. One day you will wake up in Nirvana and realize that it was all a dream. Just look in the mirror and ask yourself: “Why is the Mirror World wrong?” It is not! Which means our world is wrong. No. Our world is right. But they cannot both be right! Oh, crap! This IS a dream, isn’t it?! Yes, it is. Your mirror tells the tail (Yes: tail. Nah, I’m just messing with your brain). But, you are an immortal being, so relax.

Or, God did do it!

Maybe Buddha is God?

Ok. Ok. This is all very fine. I believe the Buddhist-version myself (having experienced it first hand). However, I will tell you about ANOTHER possibility.

However, this possibility entails FREE ENERGY.

My recent paper:

Abstract:

I have a son. I care deeply for him. So I say the MOST IMPORTANT THING IN MY LIFE RIGHT NOW is finding a way to install our values in the coming ASI (Artificial Superintelligence). Or there may not be a world for my son to grow up in. But what can I do? Hope and pray, I guess. So, the second best thing I can do, is to write about free energy. Hence this paper.

Why would your son care about free energy?

I don’t know. But if my dad invented a world that was free of “stupid suffering,” then my dream would come true. Free energy can make such a world.

100% alert global awareness: Nick Bostrom says that finding the key to the control problem is the most important task right now: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MnT1xgZgkpk>

Let’s get to it: Members of High IQ Societies should do more than conduct IQ tests. They should contribute to the world. ... That is all I have been doing!

Free Energy idea: *The earth grows, so replicate the damned mechanism on a smaller scale and put the said mechanism in your car. Done.*

The world would change overnight: We would end poverty faster than light speed.

About me: <https://www.quora.com/profile/Thor-Fabian-Pettersen>

My Website: <http://www.zorabia.com/>

I have spent over 10 years on a journey to discover how the universe began, culminating in a free energy idea. Why the long haul? You start with, "How did it all begin?" Five years later, you are back at, "How did it start, again?"

My latest work spans 3000 words and I was wondering if you could look at it. There is no math, only a bit of philosophy mixed with my understanding of the CTMU.

The basic idea is that the cuboctahedron is the blueprint of reality, the form which all forms stem from, "a something out of nothing."

If the cubo (cuboctahedron) is the bbb (basic building block of reality), then why is there so much stuff "out there" when this single entity (cubo) is really all that exists?

How come there are trees, and birds, and suns, and everything?

However: If you believe in free energy, you are stupid, or a crank, or crackpot; or a cranky crackpot! In my case, that is not the case. I am currently a member of four High IQ Societies, and my best high range test to date: 154, SD15. So I am not a crackpot. I am a screwball.

What is the difference? Read and find out.

To be honest, I was a bit disappointed. I thought I had scored higher. I was certain about 25 of them, and pretty sure about the rest. I was two puzzles away from 160, SD15. See *about me* for the test. You could score up to 175 on the test. And the only difference between a 154 and a 175 is a little email explaining where I messed up. I would understand immediately. The difference is so infinitesimally tiny! which goes to show that, once you reach Mensa level, you cannot accurately measure IQ. See: [Problems with measuring very high IQ](#)

I do not have a higher education, so the IQ thing is important to me in order to show you that I can do this, and that you should consider my work despite the fact that it was born outside the Halls of Academia. Outside of this purpose, I do not give a damn about IQ. No test shall tell me how smart I am. I know exactly how smart I am.

Ok. Enough digression. Enough boasting. Boasting are for losers and free energy crackpots! Incidentally, I am both! But you wanted the philosophy of free energy, so here it is:

Free Energy Visualization

Thor Fabian Pettersen (2018)

Ca. 3000 words. Written with simple words. Everybody can understand if they put some energy into it.

First, we must define what we mean by free energy so that there will be no confusion about what we mean.

I define free energy as Nature's ability to fuel reality with available energy. See outcome three below.

The second law of thermodynamics clearly states that all the available energy in the cosmos will turn to unavailable energy. In the future, we will see death and decay.

It shall be clear in anyone's mind that there exist only three possible outcomes to this story:

First outcome: Death! Nature will die, and that is it.

Second outcome: Longing for death! There exists an infinite source of energy such that Nature will never die, but ever try; ever longing for death.

Third outcome: Life! Nature can resurrect, that is, turn the unavailable energy into available energy again. And note that this is our definition of free energy.

Note: There might be other outcomes. Please notify me.

If the first outcome obtains, then a quote from Nietzsche springs to mind:

“If the world had a goal, it must have been reached. If there were for it some unintended final state, this also must have been reached. If it were in any way capable of a pausing and becoming fixed, of “being,” then all becoming would long since have come to an end, along with all thinking, all “spirit.” The fact of “spirit” as a form of becoming proves that the world has no goal, no final state, and is incapable of being.”—Nietzsche: [Time and Becoming in Nietzsche's Thought](#)

Likewise, if the second law of thermodynamics could transform ALL EXISTENCE into a state of death (e.g., a being), then we would not be here to talk about it.

The first outcome fails.

What about the second outcome?

The second outcome asks you to believe in the notion of an actual infinity. I find it absurd. Believe in magic all you want. Do not include me.

In my mind, then, the third outcome is the only possible outcome.

In summa: Free energy has to be real.

Let us look at number three again: Nature can resurrect, that is, turn the unavailable energy into available energy again.

Claim: IF you could place the resurrection mechanism in your car, then you could drive it forever. So you see why this is the REAL definition of free energy.

For comparison:

—A perpetual motion machine (PMM) is NOT free energy because the definition of a PMM is one that operates without a source, which is absurd! That is like baking a cake with no ingredients. Free energy has a source. An alternative PMM, see: <https://www.quora.com/Im-interested-to-hear-opinions-of-those-like-myself-who-think-perpetual-motion-machines-are->

[possible-What-is-your-exact-opinion-on-the-possibility-of-perpetual-motion/answer/Thor-Fabian-Pettersen](#)

—An overunity device is NOT free energy because free energy is not the creation of new stuff but the resurrection of old stuff. Reality stays 100 %. It does not go over. What magic can do this? I do not believe in magic.

They say, “Study a bit of physics and you will see how silly you are with your free energy!”

I will not bother because, if I did that, then I would end up like this guy:
<http://www.doctorkoontz.com/>

“We can gain unlimited amounts of energy without violating the law of energy conservation.”—Robert Koontz: [Bob Koontz On Energy Production](#)

By “unlimited,” Koontz does not mean “overunity.” He clearly states that energy stays constant, which means he is not talking about perpetual motion machines or overunity devices.

Free energy math: <https://www.doctorkoontz.com/Logs/index.htm>

However, my idea does not rest on Koontz being right. My idea is: Free energy = CCC + fractal cosmology

I will explain this later, but first let us see how nothing generated something.

First, we must look at two possible outcomes to this thing we call existence.

First possibility: Existence came from a state of nonexistence.

Second possibility: Existence always was.

“Third possibility:” God created X. (Whatever. I don’t care. I need to see how every single detail works regardless.)

In my mind, then the second possibility is the only possibility therefore, what magic can turn nonexistence off or, alternatively, turn existence on? And if some magic exists that can do that, then can’t you create overunity devices too, as you can create more and more of this thing we call existence? No. It is all nonsense.

Ok. So, existence always was. But if we assume that existence always was, then we arrive at a number of paradoxes: Imagine an object in your mind’s eye. If the second possibility obtains, then the object should be eternal. Now, trace the object’s trajectory. If the object always was, then its trajectory is infinite with no beginning or starting point. This is a paradox. But why is it a paradox? The solution here is that, it is only a paradox in a Relative Universe. A Relative Universe consists of things that you can measure against each other. This thing is small because that thing is big. Remove the big thing, and you cannot have small things. Remove all things so that you have only the one thing, and you have created an Absolute Universe in which no things are small or big. In an Absolute Universe, there is no paradox because there

is no small or big, which means our trajectory is neither finite nor infinite. Our trajectory is absolute, and that is a whole new ball game.

I maintain that Existence can always be IF it is absolute. The absolute state of things solves the inherent paradoxes of creation, so to speak.

Remove everything, all the boundaries (small, big, etc.), and you get a no-boundary world (i.e., no small or big), which is our Absolute Universe. “Remove” the no-boundary world, and you get a world with borders, like our universe. Therefore, there is NO WAY to accomplish nonexistence. So the second possibility (existence always was), must obtain.

In other words, you would think that by removing everything, which is every single boundary or border, you would get nonexistence. But what you get is a no-boundary reality. This reality exists.

This reality birthed our universe.

What does this reality look like?

Imagine sitting on a ray of light riding into the universe, you would experience that all time dissolves—you become timeless. Everything freezes and goes by in an instant because you are entering an absolute world where there is no up or down, big or small. There is no boundary that can tell you that this thing is small and this thing is big. In other words, we are dealing with a reality that is not even black or white. It is total nothingness.

But how can this nothingness birth our universe? That is, how does the no-boundary universe become the bound universe? How does the Absolute become relative?

Answer this question, and you know what caused the Big Bang.

From our thought-experiment, then it seems that only this thing called speed is up for the task of removing the borders completely in order to reveal a no-boundary world.

We then need to find a geometry that is built for speed. A man called Buckminster Fuller found it: the cuboctahedron.

Buckminster Fuller Explains Vector Equilibrium – with captions:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jcq_Hzo8PC8

Understand the cubo and create the technology of the gods. I have written how the cubo and the tetrahedron together make spacetime: <https://www.quora.com/Question-for-Atheists-Where-did-we-come-from/answer/Thor-Fabian-Pettersen>

A quick sum up: The cubo spins forever. This is not a paradox because the spin is absolute. The spin can then make a giant cosmic whirlpool from which our universe can emerge, that is, things cannot keep up with the fast pace in the center and thus become other (bound) than the fast pace (unbound).

The tetrahedron is both unbound (wave) and bound (particle). It is like asking, “How did life go from water (nothingness/wave) to land (somethingness/particle)?” Well, obviously, there was a creature that could [both] breathe underwater and breathe on land.

The tetrahedron, whose boundary of a boundary is zero, can therefore become this other.

“[...] boundary of a boundary is zero” = you can be bound and unbound at the same time. Meaning, if you want to go from “nothingness” to “somethingness,” then you need this ability because you need to stay connected to your roots, which is the nothingness. That is, a tree cannot survive floating in the air; it needs to have its roots firmly attached to the soil.

Fastest pace in existence = nothingness, timelessness, motionlessness; no-boundary world

Somethingness = our relative universe or boundary world

However, in this paper, we shall concentrate on our free energy idea.

Ok. IF the Absolute Universe is the primal state of things, then it follows logically: Everything grows, including our earth. Why is that?

The Absolute Universe = one single object or basic building block (bbb) existing in isolation

Because, the ONLY way you can go from the state of being absolute (see definition above), is if you copy yourself. This inherent ability of the BBB to copy itself must be what evolution and dark energy are.

Another way would be to separate yourself and become two (relative), but is it not easier to make “footprints in the snow” than to “chop one’s arms off?” In a fractal universe, chopping one’s arms off is = to make footprints in the snow. So the way forwards is to copy oneself (evolution).

Yet another way is the One-Electron Universe of John Archibald Wheeler. This universe escapes the Paradox of Origins (i.e., the infinite regress). But note that this universe does not rule out my idea.

How does the One-Electron Universe escape the paradox?

Again: If you trace an object in your mind’s eye, then you can trace it forever, because the moment you set a starting position for the object, you can always set it further back in time. You will arrive at a moment where there was no cause, but then how can no-cause cause time? How can nonexistence cause existence? It cannot. But then you are left with the paradox of the starting position. The only way to resolve this paradox is to make all possible starting positions one and the same. You do that by transforming the Relative Universe into the Absolute Universe, but that means you must get rid of all objects save one. If you are this one entity (non-relative), then there is no time, because Einstein said that time is relative. If you are this one entity, then there is only nothingness, but note that this nothingness is not the same as nonexistence. This nothingness is “absolute time,” which can cause “relative time.” You cause the universe by copying yourself. But that means all things grow, including our planets and suns.

[...] make all possible starting positions one and the same = When you think about it, there is no difference between an infinite landscape of time or an infinite landscape of objects, therefore, to remove all objects save one is the same as curling up the time dimension in a single knot such that “over here” and “over there” become the same spot. You no longer have an infinite regress.

Again: But how come this knot have always existed?

If this “knot” is the cuboctahedron, then we ask, what is the cuboctahedron? Well, fold the thing and see. The cuboctahedron is spin; in other words, motion. In its primal/absolute/lonely or non-relative state, however, that spin or motion is = motionlessness because in a non-relative frame, you are motionless no matter what because you have no one to measure yourself against. The key to existence, then, is to realize that motionlessness, real motionlessness, is not the opposite of motion, but an expression of motion; the primal expression. This is the key because now nonexistence becomes impossible because when you remove all possible forms of motion from existence in your evil experiment, you are left with a primal expression of motion, namely motionlessness. Remove the state of motionlessness, and you have relative motion. The state of motionlessness or nothingness can be eternal because there is no paradox in assuming that. If two or more things existed eternally, then we would have a paradox. To resolve the paradox, we can only have this one entity. This entity spins, so generating spacetime from the nothingness is no more mysterious or harder than for a whirlpool to make baby whirlpools. Since the spin is everlasting and always operational, we have a perpetual baby making machine, which means our earth grows as nothing can escape this primal evolution.

You cannot say of the one entity, “When did it begin?” It always was. “When” is a relative thing. Our entity is absolute. You cannot say of the one entity, “What caused it?” because nonexistence is impossible (as we saw earlier), so there is no prior cause. The entity is the primal cause. That is, the nothingness (i.e., the BBB) does not require a cause because it resolves the paradox of infinite regress within itself.

“When” does not apply to the absolute state. “When,” or the beginning of relative time, is a relationship that occurs between baby whirlpools and their mother. (Or, technically, it makes toruses as whirlpools are actually toruses.) The absolute beginning of time is always with us in the present!

Also, note that our One Entity is neither big nor small, it is absolute, meaning formless.

BBB = basic building block of all things = the cuboctahedron

I know that the BBB has this ability to copy because, without it, the Relative Universe cannot possibly form.

That is, the principle of LIFE/evolution must be primordial. If this is valid, then it follows that everything grows. Nothing can escape this fate if this fate = the primal motor that drives the whole of existence.

We have an all-embracing “substance” (everything copies) from which nothing escapes. This sounds like dark energy to me. One (absolute) becomes two (relative), becomes four, eight,

etc.. Soon the universe is expanding and exponentially so, while all things grow, including our planets and suns.

Sidenote: Couple this expansion of the universe with the fact that the BBBs stay connected to the nothingness (i.e., a simultaneous contraction), and you have this cosmic dialogue going on where the particles can travel instantaneously to the other side of the universe and whatnot. The whole thing looks like a giant brain. You now have a solid basis on which to understand the possibility of an Afterlife and the CTMU by Chris Langan.

Ok. We shall see that, if things copy themselves, then we are dealing with a fractal universe where the whole of existence copies itself. The whole of existence was our BBB (cubo).

My free energy claim: The BBB, then, comes in all sizes. We can therefore put one BBB that does the same as the mechanism responsible for making the earth grow, in our cars.

Fuel will then start to grow in our fuel tank.

By “fuel” I mean available energy.

We have a solid free energy idea here, but one day things will stop to grow and then die, so our free energy car is not per definition a free energy car because a free energy car is a car that you can drive “forever.” We must therefore turn to Roger Penrose. He has an idea that can turn the unavailable energy into available energy again. He calls his model the CCC (i.e., conformal cyclic cosmology).

The CCC resurrects dead stuff, but it is the whole that resurrects, which is bad news for our free energy car as our car is part of the whole. But, if the whole is the part as in a fractal universe, then we can put the whole of existence in our cars.

We can literally hold the whole of existence in our hands and, if we are clever, put it in our car or spacecraft. And if this is not “connecting to the Wheelwork of Nature” like Tesla said, then I do not know what is!

Compare this idea to the Float Belt: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Perpetual_motion

The Float Belt does not work because you are always dealing with an environment. My idea escapes the environment and conjures up the nothingness.

To state that free energy is impossible is to say that you are a master of the nothingness and can tell me what is possible with it. Modern physics has just begun contemplating the nothingness; google Lawrence Krauss. And get this: Modern science still embraces Pangea! Pangea is ugly. It is the modern equivalent of the ole geocentric model! Modern science myths in 2018: “In the beginning was this giant island, and then ...” Then you tell me that: “Study a bit of physics and you will see how silly you are with your free energy!”

But what does the CCC or something like it actually look like? How does it work philosophically?

You have one corner with white balls and one corner with black balls, over time, you will have a picture with randomness in it. That is it. Death for all time. A free energy device would be a sorting demon, sorting the balls to their former glory. But no demon exists.

However, the Absolute World does exist. And this world is always spinning. Nothing can slow the spin down because the Absolute World dwells in isolation. That is, if you have no agent or second entity that can change the picture, which you do not because you are in isolation, then the picture will stay as it is. As the spin forever spins, it spins with a fury that produces a core that knows no boundary. If our black and white balls were to come in contact with this core, then their boundaries would cease and can thus be recreated. So the next time our balls come out of the creation hole, so to speak, they can once more take any form, black or white. So we can turn the unavailable energy (randomness) into available energy again. But why does the nothingness produce order instead of randomness? I think it has to do with symmetry. Once a black ball is produced in one corner, a white ball is produced in the opposite corner, as it were. Then the second law of thermodynamics comes into play and mixes them. Then we can destroy the balls and create our two corners anew.

Note that energy is not created or destroyed. Only the boundaries are created and destroyed, as it were.

Koontz: "It sounds impossible, but it isn't. It is possible to obtain an unlimited amount of energy from devices which essentially only require that they be charged up with negative mass electrons and negative mass positrons. Any physicist should be able to convince himself of this in a matter of minutes. It really is simple: While ordinary positive mass electrons in a circuit consume power, negative mass electrons generate power. Why is that? For negative mass electrons and negative mass positrons, Newton's second law, $F = ma$ becomes $F = -ma$."

Note that my idea is not necessarily the same as Koontz's.

Mull on it: The Absolute State or nothingness, can be seen from the light's own point of view.

Any man or woman ignorant of the cuboctahedron I consider TOTALLY BLIND! Sorry.

Richard Buckminster "Bucky" Fuller found **THE BEGINNING OF TIME** (i.e., the cuboctahedron). This is it! This is what you have been looking for. This is the something out of nothing! That is, the beginning of time is with us in the **PRESENT**. And that opens up for new possibilities!

A second crazy idea: If we live in the holographic universe, then we might find a way to tap our sun or a sun in another galaxy, from our garage.

Big Sum Up: My answer to the Paradox of Origins is that the cuboctahedron is always spinning; nothing set it in motion. This is a paradox, of course, since then the spin of the cuboctahedron does not have an origin. We have an infinite regress. My resolution to this paradox was the Absolute Universe/nothingness (the light's point of view) where the spin is frozen (due to spinning so fast). If it is frozen, then we have an origin (no tail, no infinite regress). But, then, how does the frozen spin start to actually spin in order to birth spacetime? Here I introduced the Relative Universe where the spin is not frozen but spins with a fury. But how do we bridge the Absolute (unbound) and the Relative (bound) in order to make this

work? Here I introduced the tetrahedron that can live in both worlds (i.e., the boundary of a boundary is zero). That is, the tetrahedron can be a wave (unbound) and a particle (bound), and that resolves the Paradox of Origins. If you “remove” the Relative Universe, you get the Absolute Universe. If you “remove” the Absolute Universe, you get the Relative Universe. That is, there is no way to create nonexistence.

Existence/motion always was. The Relative Universe (motion) cannot have been always. The Absolute Universe (motionlessness) can always have been. Now we have a complete picture where motionlessness (unbound) = motion (bound).

Motionlessness/timelessness/nothingness is an extreme, unbound form of motion.

By bound and unbound I mean: If you have a small thing and a big thing and then you remove the big thing, you no longer have the small thing because all is relative, but that does not mean the small thing ceased to exist; it merely ceased to be a “small” thing. The relative (bound) became absolute (unbound). If you are bound, you are bound to be, say, small. If you are unbound, you can be small or big or whatever. The unbound = one. The bound = two or more. Reality is unbound. Then it copies itself and becomes bound. But the unbound does not cease just because things get bound. An island does not vanish just because you set up a border and create two states. Rather, you get a reality that is both bound and unbound, which I believe is our wave/particle reality.

OBS! Also note that my nothingness is not the same as nonexistence. My nothingness is existence in its primordial form, which is an unbound or borderless state.

Free energy works because Nature can resurrect. The higher order Masons know this. This is the original Holy Grail. The cup never empties, not because there is an infinite source in the cup, but because its contents are reanimated. Put such a cup in your car and you can drive forever. This is the myth of Jesus and the Philosopher’s Stone. My educated guess is that this knowledge stems from Atlantis itself, which was influenced by E.T.. See: Joe Rogan Experience #725 - Graham Hancock & Randall Carlson:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aDejwCGdUV8>

The PS: <https://www.quora.com/Can-we-find-the-philosophers-stone/answer/Thor-Fabian-Pettersen>

I will finish this work with the words of Sidis: ***“I have decided to publish the work and give my theory to the world, to be accepted or rejected, as the case may be.”***—William James Sidis: <https://www.sidis.net/animate.pdf>

Aftermath:

We said initially: *“If the cubo (cuboctahedron) is the bbb (basic building block of reality), then why is there so much stuff “out there” when this single entity (cubo) is really all that exists? How come there are trees, and birds, and suns, and everything?”*

The answer is that the cubo has an innate ability to copy itself; this ability is what evolution is. The ability can also answer the riddle of dark energy. The implications of this primordial ability or cosmic evolution are that everything grows, including our earth. *The earth grows.*

As above, so below: A baby in a womb is simply a reflection in the cosmic mirror.

The technological ramifications are vast! If we could replicate the said earth-growing mechanism in our cars, then we could drive till the ends of the universe and back without filling the gasoline because now the creation engine itself (i.e., the cuboctahedron) is fueling our cars.

A second ability of the cubo is that it comes in various sizes, so we can literally have the whole of existence in our hands or, if we are truly clever, in our cars. But that means we live in a growing, fractal universe.

On further investigation, the cubo opens up for the possibility of the warp drive. This sounds amazing. But if the cubo is the blueprint of all things, then all that which is possible must be embedded within it.

The warp drive is beyond the scope of this paper. But look at this:

<https://www.quora.com/Are-warp-drives-science-fiction-or-future-reality/answer/Thor-Fabian-Pettersen>